

Migel Lawson Esq MP Financial Secretary Treasury Parliament Street LONDON

30

industry Bill, and most of your letter was about whether various legislative measures should be included in the industry Bill to further the disposal of Government holdings in various bodies. You included a suggestion that in the case of British Rail, and perhaps also certain other surface transport nationalised industries, the forthcoming Transport Bill would provide an opportunity to secure the necessary powers, although you specifically recognised in the letter that the sale of business units was not my preferred way of attracting private capital.

my proposals for dealing with the National Freight Corporation. We also had a discussion about your paper which covered disposals over a wide area. In the light of that I thought I ought to send you this short note to say that I hope to be

SEOFET

able to let you have my considered views about what we should do in respect of the British Transport Docks Board, and BR subsidiaries, well before the end of the Recess. But, as you recognise, I do not think that it will be appropriate to attempt to sell off particular rail business units - which would certainly risk a major rail strike. I very much hope that it will prove practicable to get a private stake in BR on a wider basis and in a way that would fit better with the second objective quoted in paragraph 8 of your Memorandum E(DL)(79)9.

I must also make the point that my Transport Bill will already be controversial, and I think it would be wrong to add to our difficulties for the sake of taking powers which I would not want to use, but which would certainly face determined opposition. I hope you will not press me on this.

I am sending copies of this letter to Members of E(DL) Committee and Sir John Hunt.

NORMAN FOWLER