	Meeting	Major Decisions to be taken	Effect of delay on other Member States	Effect on UK Interests
	3-4 December FISH COUNCIL	(a) 1980 allowable catches ("tac ž s")	Annoyance, but probably not much.	Could undermine UK credibility on fish settlement.
	COUNCIL	(b) Conservation regime	Expect decisions at this meeting as for (a).	More than for (a). Risks spoiling improved atmosphere on fisheries.
	****	(c) Third Country agreements	Will annoy Germans and French in particular.	Insignificant. But we would lose some fishing rights if we blocked Norway and Faroes arrangements.
	4 December ENERGY COUNCIL	(a) EEC position for IEA Ministerial Meeting	Very little	Very little
		(b) Coking coal decision	Would upset Germans.	Nil
		(c) 1980 targets if not fixed by then	Would annoy all Member States and US.	Nil
	5 December COREPER	Midwives Directive (on which UK isolated)	Irritation but no great surprise.	Not significant.
	6 December TRANSPORT COUNCIL	(a) Air Transport Air Services Memorandum	-	We stand to benefit but no harm from delay.
		(b) Aircraft noise	-	Little harm from delay
		(c) Road transport quotas	Very little	We want quota extension.
		(d) Commission statement on infrastructure		We stand to gain in longer term; no harm from delay.

/10-11 December

Meeting_	Major Decisions to be taken	Effect of delay on other Member States	Effect on UK Interests
10-11 December AGRICULTURE COUNCIL	(a) Agricultural structures	Italians and Irish annoyed.	Benefit to UK (saving £68 million less £10 million for project in Scotland).
	(b) New Zealand Butter (post-1980 access)	France would welcome delay. We would alienate Commission who support UK.	Delay unwelcome to UK and New Zealand.
	(c) Potatoes	-	Delay damaging to UK. We need a satisfactory regime.
	(d) Commission proposals on agricultural expenditure	All net beneficiaries from CAP (Denmark, Ireland, Benelux, etc) would welcome delay.	Some delay acceptable.
	(e) <u>Wine</u>	France and Italians would lose some aids if delayed. But pressure would be off them to reduce surpluses.	
	(f) Sheepmeat	Would encourage French to continue ban.	-
	(g) Alcohol regime	Little effect.	Would delay potential refund of £21 million for UK whisky distillers.
17 December ENVIRONMENTAL COUNCIL	(a) Health protection standards (sulphur and lead in the air).	Not significant.	Not significant. (We already have a reserve).
	(b) Protection of whales	Not significant.	Disappointing. It is a UK initiative.
	(c) Chlorofluro- carbons	Not significant.	Not significant.
		/17 T	lecember

Major Decisions Effect of delay on Effect on UK other Member States Meeting to be taken Interests 17 December 1980 Budget: Delay difficult budgetary matters Could be matters FINANCE arising from usually decided by COUNCIL Parliament's 2nd qualified majority. reading and adopt- Effect not great, and ion of budget (e.g. same for all. application of budget declared illegally adopted, maximum rate) which would have Community would operate on "twelfths rule". bearing on whether Council considered 1980 budget to be legally adopted. 18 December (a) Staffing and Other Member States Community staff would probably would resent UK pay secretly welcome tough UK line on FOREIGN block. AFFAIRS substance. But UK block could But COUNCIL cause a strike which would not be welcome. (b) Renewal of Would be popular with Could cause damage steel anti-crisis Germans, would be disliked by others, to UK industry during critical measures (which especially Italians phase of expire 31.12.79). restructuring. and Commission. UK support agree-(c) EEC-ASEAN French wish to block. agreement ment, but no adverse effect. Italians alone would (d) Shipbuilding Scrap and build be concerned. Little prospect anyway of agreement. (e) US synthetic UK initiative Small textiles would prevent action being taken to protect UK industry. Minimal 20 December (a) "Super-Sara" Italians would be upset. JRC would nuclear safety RESEARCH continue. Other project. COUNCIL Member States little

(c) Radiation protection.

confinement fusion

(b) Inertial

CONFIDENTIAL

concerned.

Meeting	Major Decisions to be taken	Effect of delay on other Member States	Effect on UK Interests
14-15 January FOREIGN AFFAIRS	(a) Supplementary coal and steel revenue?	All steel and coal producing Member States want agreement.	-
COUNCIL	(b) Extension of social security regulations to self- and non-employed?	Minimal. Danes already blocking.	UK initiative. But minimal effect of delay.
21-22 January AGRICULTURE COUNCIL	Price-fixing proposals 1980/1 likely to be put forward by Commission.	Too early to block. decisions not expected.	
11-12 February	Price-fixing proposals discussed.	Negative attitude by UK would begin to annoy.	
March EUROPEAN COUNCIL	(a) <u>3 Wise Men</u> Report	Irritation.	Not significant but possible loss of influence on subsequent decisions.
	(b) New Commission President	Would probably not cause concern until May.	In absence of agreement, Mr Jenkins might stay on into 1981.
3-4 March AGRICULTURE COUNCIL	Price-fixing		
6 May TRANSPORT COUNCIL	Harmonisation of summer time dates?	Minimal	Could weaken our chances of getting other Member States to come towards our dates.
3 June SOCIAL AFFAIRS COUNCIL	[Depending on outcome of Dublin summit and ETUC reaction] Further measures	Belgium, and to a lesser extent other Member States will be anxious to reach satisfactory	We do not wish to cause a split with unions, but do wish to avoid binding commitments in this

satisfactory conclusion: Germans

(sceptical about costs to industry

will be least concerned

commitments in this

area.

COUNCIL

Further measures

to <u>alleviate</u> unemployment (worksharing etc)

Meeting

AGRICULTURE

Major Decisions to be taken

Effect of delay on Effect on UK other Member States

Interests

June

Price-fixing

UK obstruction would probably cause heated battle by that date.

July BUDGET

COUNCIL

COUNCIL

Establishment of 1981 draft budget

If others accepted that Luxembourg compromise could be used to block establishment this would prevent adoption of any budget for 1981.
"Twelfths Rule" would operate from February 1981. Major irritant to partners, but about equal misery to all: theoretically those with greater receipts from CAP would be worst hit but would take time for this stage to be reached.