

THIS DOCUMENT IS THE PROPERTY OF HER BRITANNIC MAJESTY'S GOVERNMENT

E(80)118 13 October 1980

COPY NO.34

CABINET

MINISTERIAL COMMITTEE ON ECONOMIC STRATEGY

PUBLIC SERVICE PAY IN THE 1980-81 PAY ROUND

Note by the Chancellor of the Exchequer

From two points of view we need to go for the lowest practicable level of pay increases in the public service in 1980-81:

- (i) the need to hold back public spending every 1 per cent off public services pay would save nearly \$300 million in FY 1981-82 (see Annex); and
- (ii) the need to help the private sector arrive at settlements which will ease the financial pressure on companies and contribute to the restoration of balance in the economy.
- 2. When we settle the cash limits for public expenditure, we therefore need to do so on the lowest practicable assumptions about public service pay. The present plan is that cash limits (including the pay and inflation assumptions underlying them) should be announced on 19 November; the volume of spending

CONFIDENTIAL

253

119

120

121

122

123

plans and cash limits will be considered by Cabinet on 30 October.

Meanwhile I shall be re-emphasising in public our determination
to hold increases in public service pay down.

3. It is not possible yet to decide on precise figures. But we can start to establish the framework for our decisions.

4. The prospect for earnings outside the public services during the current round is relevant. Of course there is much uncertainty about this; but there are signs that much of the manufacturing sector will be settling in single figures. On the other hand, one must expect that in the economy as a whole the average level of settlements will be higher because other areas are not under the same degree of pressure as manufacturing. But for the purpose of selecting a figure for the public services, it must be right to focus on the lower end of the range in the rest of the economy. And we have already indicated that we intend to go for something less than 10 per cent.

5. The target for monetary growth (in spite of difficulties in achieving it) can also be taken as a rough guide: for the present financial year that points to a range of 7-ll per cent (centred on 9 per cent); the medium-term financial strategy envisaged that for the financial year 1981-82 the range would be 6-10 per cent (centred on 8 per cent). I would be guided by the bottom ends of these ranges.

6. There are two particular problems which need to be borne in mind:

(a) We have to remember there are certain groups for whom the cost of pay will be greater in the next pay round than in the current one, even without any new pay increases, because their last settlements were staged. Thus the non-industrial civil service will be up by 2 per cert before we start, the teachers by 4 per cent and the university teachers by 11 per cent.

CONFIDENTIAL

119

120

121

122

123

124

In E(80)71 I argued that increases of this kind should come out of the allowance for new pay increases in the following year's cash limits. Although there would be criticism if we applied this approach to settlements in the last pay round, I think we should follow this course since not to do so would mean that FY 1981-82 cash limits would once again finance increases in earnings higher than announced pay factors. We may however judge it right to soften the impact in the light of the figures we choose for pay assumptions generally, to a greater or lesser extent by accommodating some of the overhang.

(b) The amount of money available within cash limits for pay settlements in the new round is based on factors of 13-14 per cent in respect of any proportion of the settlement falling in this financial year - more than enough for increases of the kind we have in mind. The main announcement should make it clear that the cash limits for 1981-82 will finance these awards only to the extent of the general pay assumption even if the actual awards are higher. This would mean that all settlements in the new round are subject to the same degree of constraint. To prevent this problem recurring in future, we need to adopt provisional assumptions for pay in the 1981-82 pay round lower than those we are discussing for 1980-81.

7. The lower the actual level of pay settlements, the less we shall have to rely on reductions in the volume of public expenditure to achieve our aims. It is therefore important that the pay factors we adopt should actually be reflected in the average levels of settlements. Having set the limits, therefore, we have follow through and deal with all the difficulties of pay negotiation.

- 3 -

CONFIDENTIAL

255

119

120

121

122

123

Beyond that, some particular aspects of negotiating machinery need to be changed if the ground is not to be cut from under our feet. Arbitration is the most important of these. The Secretary of State for Employment is putting a paper to E Committee on this. It is clear that where arbitration, on broad terms of reference, is automatically available to the demand of only one of the parties, any normal management responsibility for what can be afforded by way of pay is undermined. Legislation may be the only way of ensuring that such arrangements are changed.

g. We shall also have to be prepared if necessary to override other constraints such as the reports of the review bodies for the armed forces, doctors and dentists and top salaries.

10. I accept that there are limits to how far the approach I am suggesting can be pressed. In particular the lower the figure one goes for, the greater the risk of not achieving it, or doing so only at the cost of industrial action. Furthermore a very wide disparity in earnings between public services and the rest of the economy would create pressure for catching up. The third factor in how far we can go is what is happening to prices. Although the annual figure for the RPI is likely to have declined further by April 1981, when many public service settlements take place, it is still likely to be significantly above 10 per cent: settlements, as I propose, well down into single figures will imply a significant cut in real incomes. If it were not for factors such as these I would be arguing for an even stronger approach.

Conclusions

11. (a) We should base cash limits on factors for pay increases in the public services well down into single figures.

(b) In future, staging should be dealt with as I proposed in E(80)71 and we should avoid staging from now on.

CONFIDENTIAL

119

120

121

122

123

124

- (c) We need to decide exactly how to deal with the overhang of staging from the 1979-80 pay round for the non-industrial civil service, teachers and university teachers; so far as possible I should prefer that it be included (without extra allowance) within whatever pay factors we think appropriate more generally.
- (d) When setting cash limits for FY 1981-82 awards due to be settled this autumn will be financed only to the extent of the standard pay factor even if the actual awards are higher.
- (e) To prevent the problem of over-provision recurring, we shall need to adopt at this stage provisional pay assumptions relating to the 1981-82 pay round, lower than what we decide for 1980-81.
- (f) We should also decide on changes in arrangements for arbitration, possibly by legislation.
- (g) We shall also have to consider whether, if necessary, we shall be prepared to override other constraints such as the recommendations of review bodies.
- (h) Announcements relating to the above should be made on 19 November.

H.M. Treasury
13 October 1980

(G.H.)

CONFIDENTIAL

119

120

121

122

123

124

PUBLIC SERVICE PAY GROUPS (ALL FIGURES & MILLION)

	government Wil Service 2 of which Wil wastrials 4200		Estimated pay & related expenditure 1980-81(1)in		Cost in 1981-82 of each 1 percentage point of settlement in current round	
ı	Service of which	n	5250		Million Co.	
ı	non industrials			42	50	
ı	industrial	1050		8		
п			2850			
ŀ	imed Forces		20,0		28 1	
l	Mational Health Service		6900			
P	of which nurses	3150		314	69	
	ancillaries	1200		12		
	doctors	900		9		
ı	admin	900		9		
I	ambulancemen	150		17		
Į	others	600		6		
Total	central government		15000		1477	
1 10000	ocal Government					
	Pire		300			
I	Police(3)		1350		3	
	leachers		6150		13½	
1	dain		3900		61½	
2000	anuals				29	
			3300		33	
			15000		140	

ucludes national insurance contributions and employers pension untributions.

Recludes Northern Ireland civil service and staff of UK AEA.

Retiement already agreed.